

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/648,656	08/25/2003	Walter K. Lim	57239	3911
75	90 05/28/2004		EXAMINER	
	nbert & Associates		PRICE, C	CARL D
7000 View Park Burke, VA 22			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
——————————————————————————————————————			3749	

DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	6
	10/648,656	LIM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CARL D. PRICE	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			ress
Period f r Reply		·	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed lys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this con ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 111	May 2004.		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	·		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6,9-17 and 19-24 is 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,7,8,18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ 	/are withdrawn from consideration	n.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	<u> </u>		•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			2 1 121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Applications Ints documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No /ed in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	,	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail [3) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Pate Patent Application (PTO-	152)

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of the embodiment of species 2, Group 1 (i.e. - the finger pump, claims 1-5, 7, 8 and 18) in Paper No. 05112004 is acknowledged.

Claims 6,9-17 and 19-24 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 05112004.

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." References made by applicant to U.S. Patent No. 6,267,581, on page 1; and other information such as a "mechanical break up nozzle to produce a full spray" (Precision Valve Corp.), on page 3, lines 6-11 and the "conventional sprayers" (Precision Valve Corp.), page 3, line 28. Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Art Unit: 3749

Claim Rejections

35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-5, 7, 8 and 18: rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)/35 U.S.C. 103(a)

Claims 1-5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Harrison (US006267581B1) in view of Yen (US006510901B2) and further in view of Goeren et al (US005829648A).

Art Unit: 3749

Harrison discloses the invention substantially as set forth in applicants' claims with possible exception to the Harrison shows (Figure 2) and discloses (column 3, lines 6-19) a hand held finger and pump operated device for extinguishing a candle flame by dispensing, under pressure, a filled conical spray (B; see column 3, lines) of an aerosol water mist about the flame of a candle to be extinguished. Harrison also recognizes that "countless varieties of hand pumps are available for use in practice of the invention". Harrison discloses the invention substantially as set forth in applicants' claims with possible exception to:

- 1) Regarding claims 1 and 5, the size of water droplets and the spray distribution (i.e.- a steep bell curve and a filled conical spray),
- 2) Regarding claims 2-4, the quantity of water discharged in each cycle (i.e. 1.0 ml, 0.05 ml, 0.08 ml),
 - 3) the pump being of the "finger pump" type (claim 7),
 - 4) a 0.12 inch mechanical break up insert to form the desired droplets size (claim 18).

Yen teaches (see column 3, lines 48-60; column 4, lines 7-16 and 29-35), from the same open flame extinguishing field of endeavor as Harrison, water mist sprays having droplet with cross-sections between 400 and 1000 microns are known to produce "best flame suppression action and results". Yen further acknowledges that these water mist droplets "have a very great total surface area, acting to rapidly lower temperature in the flame area and fat zone." Yen also recognizes that "the optimum parameters of the extinguisher required of extinguishing various

Art Unit: 3749

types of fires, including water mist characteristics (spray angle, water droplet sizes and flow rates), discharge pressures, nozzle discharge angles, and the type of nozzles, have been determined." (see columns 5-8).

In regard to claims 1-5, 7, 8 and 18, in view of the teaching of Yen, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the water mist to produce water droplets sized less 1000 microns. Additionally, in view of Yen's recognition that optimum parameters of the extinguisher required of extinguishing various types of fires can be determined by the person having ordinary skill in the art, to distribute the spray over a step bell curve, discharge water in each cycle in the amount of 1.0 ml, 0.05 ml or 0.08 ml; dimension and select nozzle elements (i.e.- properly sized mechanical breaks) can be viewed as nothing more than mere matters of choice in design associated with a given use, or application, of a deice such as that of Harrison. Also, in regard to claim 1-5, 7, 8 and 18, for the purpose of sufficiently dispersing he mist so as to not overly wet the surface being treated, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, to modify the water misting device of Harrison, to produce a filled conical spray (i. e. – "a blossom of spray"), in view of the teaching of Goeren et al (see column 2, lines 10-24).

Conclusion

See the attached PTO FORM 892 for prior art made of record and not relied upon and which are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3749

Roth (DE10061667) discloses (see the English language abstract) a candle snuffer gun for directing one or two shots of a strong short fine spray of water mist onto the candle, to completely extinguish the flame and also the wick.

USPTO CUSTOMER CONTACT INFORMATION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **CARL D. PRICE** whose telephone number is **703-308-1953**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between **6:30am-3:00pm**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 703-308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CARL D. PRICE Primary Examiner Art Unit 3749